

agreements to provide habitats for some species of endangered native fish.

Another method, used in many mosquito-control districts in the country, is to introduce into the wetland a non-native fish, usually a species of *Gambusia* (more commonly called mosquitofish). *Gambusia* feed on mosquito larvae; however, they also have a tendency to feed on smaller fish, including some of Arizona's endangered native fish! Use of *Gambusia* therefore has not been encouraged. In an interesting twist, without Tucson Water's knowledge, someone recently stocked the gazebo pond at Sweetwater with *Gambusia*.

Be Vigilant!

In the Southwest, when the potential for standing water is high, we must be prepared to monitor for mosquitoes and act when appropriate. Vegetation grows, debris accumulates, water flows change. It may take a few years, but sooner or later, mosquito problems will emerge in any wetland or even in recharge basins if good maintenance is not practiced. In Southern California, one constructed wetland had the distinction of being the primary source of mosquitoes capable of vectoring western equine encephalitis for an area of approximately 23 km² (Walton, 2002) until its structure was changed. Vigilance is important.

Contact Elizabeth Willott at willott@u.arizona.edu.

References.....

Karpiscak, M.M., K.J. Kingsley, R.D. Wass, F.A. Amalji, J. Friel, A.M. Stewart, J. Tabor, and J. Zauderer, 2004. Constructed wetland technology and mosquito populations in Arizona. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 56(4): 681-707.

SWCA, Inc., 1998. Mosquito control program: Central Avra Valley Storage and Recovery Project (CAVSARP) baseline investigation. SWCA Inc. *Envir. Consultants*. Feb. 11, 1998.

Walton, W.E., 2002. Multipurpose constructed treatment wetlands in the arid southwestern United States: Are the benefits worth the risks? In *Treatment Wetlands for Water Quality Improvement: Quebec 2000 Conference*, August 6-12, 2000. Compiled by J. Pries. Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, CH2MHILL: 115-123.

Walton, W.E., 2003. *Managing mosquitoes in surface-flow constructed treatment wetlands*. University of California. Division of Agriculture and natural Resources. Davis, CA. Publ. No. 8117. 11 pp. Available at www.entomology.ucr.edu/people/walton.html

Wetlands also can be constructed in modules as the landfill is built out.

Other Factors: BOD, Ammonia, and Climate

Atmospheric exposure and the relatively long hydraulic retention times of the wetlands options result in very effective removal of the volatile priority pollutants. If the leachate BOD is consistently above 500 milligrams per liter (mg/L), then the use of a preliminary anaerobic pond or a VF wetland cell should be considered.

For landfill leachates with high ammonia content on the order of 300 mg/L or more, VF wetlands are usually required because they facilitate transfer of oxygen into the wetlands. These wetlands can be combined in series or with recirculation to treat high ammonia concentrations because they can transfer oxygen from the atmosphere into the sand where nitrification can occur. Intermittent dosing of VF wetlands (alternately wetting and drying) is similar in concept to using recirculating sand filters (Crites and Tchobanoglous, 1998).

Some advantages and benefits of the SSF wetland concept, such as preventing public contact with the wastewater, are not necessary at most landfill locations, so an FWS wetland may be a more cost-effective choice even if it requires more land. The exception may be in cold climates, where the thermal protection provided by SSF wetlands offers an operational advantage. The table on page 29 shows the performance of an FWS wetland treating landfill leachate in Mobile County, Alabama.

Contact Ron Crites at rcrites@brwncaled.com.

References.....

Crites, R.W., E.J. Middlebrooks, and S.C. Reed, 2005. *Natural Wastewater Treatment Systems*. CRC Press.

Crites, R.W. and G. Tchobanoglous, 1998. *Small and Decentralized Wastewater Management Systems*. McGraw-Hill Book Co.

Johnson, K.D., C.D. Martin, G.A. Moshiri, and W.C. McCrory, 1998. Performance of a constructed wetland leachate treatment system at the Chunchula Landfill, Mobile County Alabama, in *Constructed Wetlands for the Treatment of Landfill Leachates*, ed. by G. Mulamootil, E.A. McBean, and F. Rovers. Lewis Publishers.

PEOPLE

Harshbarger Honored at UA

The late John W. Harshbarger was honored in November by the University of Arizona's Department of Hydrology and Water Resources.



David R. Hargis, founder of Hargis + Associates consulting firm and a former student of Harshbarger, presented a bronze plaque at the ceremony, which was placed on Harshbarger's namesake building that houses the hydrology department.

Harshbarger joined the faculty of the geology department at the university in 1955, becoming head of that department six years later. He was instrumental in creating the hydrology department in 1966, and was its first chair.

Harshbarger was a geologist with the U.S. Geological Survey prior to arriving at the University of Arizona, and he built an active relationship between that agency and the new department. He also developed close ties with the Water Resources Research Center, which was established around the same time as the hydrology department.

Harshbarger's research and experience was diverse. He worked in the mining industry, exploring for uranium and vanadium. He was involved in the Manhattan Project. He initiated the first water resources survey of the entire Navajo Nation, and in 1966 he published *Arizona Water* (USGS Water Supply Paper 1648), a non-technical survey of Arizona's hydrology and water resources. Harshbarger eventually started his own consulting company in Tucson, where he worked the last two decades of his life, primarily in the area of groundwater development.

Visit www.hwr.arizona.edu/historysummary.html and www.hargis.com.